

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
Akasushia, Virginia 22313-1450

PECS.

CENTEL FOR CENTER

JAN 1 8 2003

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/889,306 07/13/2001		07/13/2001	Lionel Wolovitz	5035-112US	2331		
24939	7590 01/13/2005 EXAMINER						
VISTEON GLOBAL TECHNOLOGIES INC				BUL BING Q			
Intellectual I One Village			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
Van Buren 1			2642				

DATE MAILED: 01/13/2005

734-736-5540 >> USPTO

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

To E. XALTIMIZE BUI,

THE SUBJECT PHILIPS

HAPLICATION, 09/889, 306,

IS NOT ASSISTED TO

VISTEIN CLOCK TECHNOLOGIES.

- DARRYL NEWEZL (734) 710-5210

_ JAN. 18,2005

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/889,306	WOLOVITZ ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Bing Q Bui	2642
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply		1
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT! - Latensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 O after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is tess than thirty (30) days. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory is Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, mon. a reply within the statutory minimum are reind will apply and will expire SIX (6) statute, cause the application to become	nay a reply or timely filed of thirty (3u; days will be considered timely) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. me ABANDONED (55.4.5.0.5.0.5.133)
Status	·	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	13 July 2001.	
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for al	owance except for formal	matters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice un	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Clalm(s) <u>1-35</u> Is/are pending in the application	ation.	meach/ED
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit		PECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER
5)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are rejected.		JAN 1 8 2005
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		9.11.2
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement	
Application Papers		
	_:	
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa		
10) The drawing(s) filed on 13 July 2001 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to		- ·
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co		• •
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the		_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
The dam of declaration is objected to by the	io examiner. Note the atta	ched Office Action of form F10-192.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	reign priority under 35 U.S.	.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority docu		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Copies of the certified copies of the		een received in this National Stage
application from the International B	• • • •	
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies	not received.
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) Inton	lew Summary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	B) Paper	No(s)/Mail Date
3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S	B/08) 5) 🔲 Notice	e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/13/01. J.S. Paten) and Traderrank Office	6) Olher	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	ce Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 010505

Art Unit: 2642

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-35 are pending in the application for examination.

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
JAN 1 8 2005

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to because the drawings do not show legends explaining the number shown the drawing. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office Action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 U\$C § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Art Unit: 2642

2005-01-18 .15:44

Page 3

Claims 1-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hollander et al (EP 0 936 694 A2) cited by Applicant; herein after referred as Hollander.

Regarding claim 1, referring to Figures 1-4 and 12, Hollander teaches a removable wireless device (e.g., modern card 10) which is adapted to be insertable and fully removable from a computing device (e.g., laptop computer 12), the wireless device (e.g., modern card 10) comprising.

- (i) an antenna (see Fig 2, elements 22 and 24 and col. 7, Ins 6-52); .
- (ii) an analogue radio amplifier connected to the antenna (see Fig 12 and col. 9, Ins 21-54);
- (iii) a platform on which the antenna and radio amplifier are mounted, the platform being retractable into a casing of the device when the antenna is not in use and extendable out of the casing to enable the antenna to operate effectively; wherein the device further comprises one or more components, mounted on the platform, which perform a digital process (see Fig 2, elements 22 and 24 and col. 7, Ins 6-52).

Regarding claim 2, referring to Fig 12 and col. 9, Ins 21-54, Hollander teaches the removable wireless device of Claim 1 in which a component mounted on the platform perfomn one or more of the following digital processes:

- (i) D/A conversion:
- (ii) Signal filtering;
- (iii) Modulation or demodulation:
- (iv) Channel coding or de-coding;
- (v) generating an analogue baseband signal;

Art Unit: 2642

2005-01-18 15:44

Page 4

(vi) generating a digitized version of an analogue baseband signal.

Regarding claim 3, referring to Fig 12 and col. 9, Ins 21-54, Hollander teaches the removable wireless device of Claim 2 in which one or more of the following components are mounted on the platform:

- (i) a baseband processor,
- (ii) a radio transceiver.

Regarding claim 4, Hollander teaches the removable wireless device of any preceding Claim in which the platform comprises a printed circuit board (see Fig 4. element 14 and col. 2, Ins 22-36).

Regarding claim 5, Hollander teaches the removable wireless device of Claim 4 in which electrical connections between the antenna and the or each component mounted on the platform are printed directly onto the printed circuit board on which the components and the antenna are mounted (see Fig 4, element 14; col. 2, Ins 22-36 and col. 6, In 48-col. 7, In 5).

Regarding claim 6, Hollander teaches the removable wireless device of any preceding Claim in which the antenna is printed directly onto the circuit board (see Fig 4, element 14; col. 2, lns 22-36 and col. 6, ln 48-col. 7, ln 5).

Regarding claim 7, Hollander teaches the removable wireless device of any preceding claim in which the platform slides within a PC Card casing (see Fig 1).

Regarding claim 8, Hollander teaches the removable wireless device of any preceding Claim in which the platform may slide out under the force of a spring ejection

Art Unit: 2642

Page 5

mechanism, the force of a motorised ejector or be capable of being extracted manually (see col. 3, Ins 12-24).

Regarding claim 9, Hollander teaches the removable wireless device of any preceding Claim in which the device further comprises a sensor which detects the position of the platform and automatically switches the wireless device on if the platform is extended beyond a first position and automatically switches the wireless device off if the platform is retracted beyond a second-position (see col. 7, Ins 6-52 and col. 9, Ins 21-54).

Regarding claim 10, Hollander teaches the removable wireless device of Claim 9 in which the sensor comprises a voltage sensing arrangement in which the voltage applied to a part of the platform varies depending on its position and the applied voltage can be measured to determine the position of the platform (see col. 7, Ins 6-52 and col. 9, Ins 21-54).

Regarding claim 11, Hollander teaches the removable wireless device as claimed in any preceding Claim further including LEDs which are controlled to light up indicating the status or condition of the Card (see col. 7, Ins 6-52 and col. 9, Ins 21-54).

Regarding claim 12, Hollander teaches the removable wireless device of Claim

11 in which the LEDs are mounted distant from the antenna in order to minimise interference with the antenna and at least one light pipe carries light from the LEDs (see col. 7, Ins 6-52 and col. 9, Ins 21-54).

Art Unit: 2642

Page 6

Regarding claim 13, Hollander teaches the removable wireless device of any preceding Claim in which the platform is fully removable from the device to allow a new platform to be inserted into the device (see col. 7, Ins 6-52 and col. 9, Ins 21-54).

Regarding claim 14, Hollander teaches the removable wireless device of Claim 13 in which the removable platform enables an upgraded version of the platform to be inserted into the device (see col. 7, Ins 6-52 and col. 9, Ins 21-54).

As to claims 15 and 18-24, they are rejected for the same reasons set forth to rejecting claims 1, 3-8 and 10, respectively.

As to claims 16-17, they are rejected for the same reasons set forth to rejecting claim 2.

As to claims 25-35, they are rejected for the same reasons set forth to rejecting claims 1 and 7.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The following patents are cited to further show the state of the art in general:

U.S. Pat. No. 5,815,820

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bing Bui whose telephone number is (703) 308-5858.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:30 to 5:00.

Art Unit: 2642

Page 7

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor. Ahmad Matar, can be reached on (703) 305-4731. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306 and for formal communications intended for entry (please label the response EXPEDITED PROCEDURE:) or for informal or draft communications not intended for entry (please label the response "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT").

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

05 JAN 2005

BING Q. BUI PRIMARY EXAMINER

1911a 2. 1211

*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).)

Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-892 (Rev. 01-2001)

Notice of References Cited

Part of Paper No. 010505

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE ATION (Use several sheets if nocessar)					Docket Number (Optional) 5035-112US		Application Number 09/889306				
					Applicat(s) Lionel Wolovitz and		RETURNO 1 3 JUL 2001				
				Herewith Group Art Vall 2642			2_				
U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS											
'Examiner Initial	RKY	DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE		МАМЕ	CLASS	SUBCLASS	FILING IF APPRO			
Br		5,357.288	09/17/96	Naotaka Kato, et al.							
32		5,918,163	06/29/99	Markku J. Rossi							
!											
									·		
								_			
						•					
									·		
					_						
				FOREIGN	PATENT DOCUMENTS						
	REF	BOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE		COUNTRY	CLASS	SUBCLASS	Trans YES	lation NO		
B		EP 0 936 694 A2	18.08.99								
!						,					
									·		
					•						
	-	-		OTHER D	OCUMENTS (Including A	uthor, Tale, Do	te, Pertinent Pag	es, Fac)			
								-	•		
	\perp										
				•			, ,				
EXAMINER TOTAL A DATE CONSIDERED 01/05/55											
EXAMINER considered.	EXAMINER: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP Section 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.										

Form PTO-A820 (also form PTO-1449)

Patent and Trademark Office 'U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE